

Where I come from and how I got here

Citation for published version (APA):

van Veldhuizen, T. S. (2017). *Where I come from and how I got here: assessing credibility in asylum cases*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Gildeprint Drukkerijen.
<https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20170922tsv>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2017

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20170922tsv](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20170922tsv)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Where I come from and how I got here:

Assessing credibility in asylum cases

1. An effective credibility assessment starts with asking adequate questions: open and free recall questions tailored to the knowledge and experiences of the asylum seeker. *(This thesis)*
2. Before concluding that statements low in detail, containing inconsistencies, or lacking plausibility are deceptive, explanations related to memory capacities and cultural misunderstandings must be ruled out. *(This thesis)*
3. People know much about their home country and town, but less than seems to be expected in asylum interviews. *(This thesis)*
4. The right to asylum and the principle of non-refoulement require an explicit choice about the relative importance of preventing Type I and Type II errors, especially in light of the uncertain and high-stake decision context of asylum procedures. *(This thesis)*
5. The increasing number of legal proceedings that involve intercultural communication warrants more research on memory processes in people from non-western cultures.
6. Your research question should dictate your methodology, and not vice-versa.
7. Being critical about legal proceedings is easy, finding solutions is much more difficult.
8. In order to successfully interact with practitioners, scientist should learn to speak their language.
9. Ensuring that your research has societal relevance starts with identifying interesting research questions and by interacting with stakeholders; it is not accomplished by merely writing a compulsory valorization addendum after you have finished.
10. The time to relax is when you don't have time for it. *Sydney J. Harris*